

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

In re J.L., a Person Coming
Under the Juvenile Court Law.

2d Crim. No. B279587
(Super. Ct. No. KJ38904)
(Los Angeles County)

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

J.L.,

Defendant and Appellant.

On February 26, 2015, J.L., then age 14, was charged with two felony counts of making criminal threats. (Pen. Code, § 422, subd. (a).) It was further alleged that he committed the offenses for the benefit of, at the direction of and in association with a criminal street gang and that in committing the offenses, he used a deadly weapon, a knife, causing the offenses to become serious felonies. (Pen. Code, § 1192.7, subds. (c)(28) and (c)(24).)

J.L. admitted the offenses and special allegation. The juvenile court declared J.L. to be a person described in Welfare and Institutions Code section 602 and sustained the petition. The court declared the maximum period of confinement to be 14 years, and ordered suitable placement.

On November 1, 2016, when J.L. was age 15, the People filed a petition in juvenile court alleging a violation of Vehicle Code section 10851, subdivision (a), driving or taking a vehicle without the owner's consent.

J.L. admitted to a misdemeanor violation of the section and the juvenile court sustained the petition. Almost immediately after sustaining the petition, the court became concerned that doing so might result in the loss of juvenile court jurisdiction and a transfer to criminal court pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 707, subdivision (b). Over J.L.'s objection, the juvenile court dismissed the November 1, 2016 petition in the interest of justice and the welfare of the minor. (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 782.) J.L. appealed the dismissal.

We appointed counsel to represent J.L. in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, he filed an opening brief raising no issues.

On April 7, 2017, we advised J.L. by mail that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues that he wished to raise on appeal. We received no reply.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that J.L.'s attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issue exists. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

The judgment is affirmed.
NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

GILBERT, P. J.

We concur:

YEGAN, J.

TANGEMAN, J.

Geanene Garcia-Yriarte, Judge
Superior Court County of Los Angeles

Bruce G, Finebaum, under appointment by the Court
of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.